

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/711,435	11/13/2000	Robert Allan Unger	50P4199	7705
20480	7590 03/24/2004		EXAM	INER
STEVEN I	L. NICHOLS	NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
RADER, FI	SHMAN & GRAVER PLL	C		
10653 S. RIVER FRONT PARKWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 150		2615		
SOUTH JORDAN, UT 84095			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/711,435	UNGER, ROBERT ALLAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUY T NGUYEN	2615
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-59 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	lrawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document of the prio	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 23-25, 27, 30, 31,35, 37, 40, 42, 47,48, 49, 51,52,53, and 55-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pierre et al (6,678,463).

Regarding claims 23, 30,42,51,52,55,56,57,58 Pierre discloses a personal video recorder (Figs. 4-6, column 6) having a user-controlled data capture function, the recorder comprising: an input (102) for receiving an audiovisual signal and an output for outputting said audiovisual signal; a buffer (90,92) for buffering said audiovisual signal, said buffer retaining a portion of said audiovisual signal after that portion has been output by said recorder (column 5, lines 10-15); a data storage unit (105); and a processor (10) that receives input from a user input device; wherein, upon receipt of a user command input through said user input device, said processor records a segment of said audiovisual signal in said data storage unit, said segment of said audiovisual signal comprising: a first predetermined amount of said portion of said portion of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/711,435

Art Unit: 2615

said audiovisual signal output by said recorder after receipt of said user command (column 6, lines 23-36, 60-68.

Further for claim 23, 40, 42, 49, 51, 55, 57, 58, Pierre further teaches that the predetermined first potion and second portion amount is set by the user input device since after storing the first predetermined amount in buffer, the user inputs command to input device to record the second predetermine amount corresponding to the remaining portion of the program until stopping recording (column 6, lines 5-20).

Further for claim 30 and 56, Pierre further teaches processor associates an identifying label with each said segment of said audiovisual signal recorded in said data storage unit (column 5, lines 5-65).

Further for claim 42, 47, and 53, Pierre teaches the use of a remote control device (column 4, lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 27 and 37, Pierre teaches the use of a remote control device (column 4, lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 24, 25, 31 and 48, Pierre further teaches processor associates an identifying label with each said segment of said audiovisual signal recorded in said data storage unit (column 5, lines 5-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2615

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 26, 29,36,41,50 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre et al. in view of Kuroda (6,311,011).

Regarding claim 26, 29, 36 and 41, Pierre fails teaches the use of hard disk s for storing the segment from the storage. However, it is noted that using a heard disk for storing the signal is well known in the art as taught by Kuroda. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify. Pierre by providing the apparatus of Pierre with a disk driver for receiving a hard disk and storing the segment from the storage device for later user.

5. Claim 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre et al in view of JO (5,237,462).

Regarding claim 28, Pierre fails to teach the second predetermined amount is determined by length of time .

Art Unit: 2615

Jo teaches recording apparatus having a control means for setting a length of time of a predetermine amount of video signal (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to modify Pierre with JO by using a control means as taught by JO with the apparatus of Pierre for setting the second predetermine amount by a length of time thereby accurately control the second predetermined amount to be recorded.

6. Claims 32,33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre et al. in view of Kuroda (6,311,011).

Regarding claims 32,33 and 34 ,Pierre fails to teaches that the label including date stamp and time elapsed for the program . Kuroda teaches a recording apparatus having a control means for labeling the recorded program with date stamp and time elapses for the program (column 7, lines 50-65)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pierre with Kuroda by labeling the recorded program with date stamp and time elapsed information as additional information to identifying the recorded program to be reproduced.

7. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre in view of Inoue e al (5,990,881).

Regarding claim 33, Pierre fails to teaches adding time elapsed amount to the video-audio signal. Inoue teaches a recording /reproducing apparatus for recording video audio signal having means for adding time elapsed in the video signal (column 5, lines 35-45).

Art Unit: 2615

It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pierre with Inoue by adding the elapsed time to the video audio signal of Pierre thereby for easily controlling the running time of the program.

8. Claims 38, 39,43-46 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre in view of Vallone et al (6,642,939).

Regarding claims 38,39 ,43 and 54 , Pierre fails to specifically teach the use of a plurality of remote control units . Vallone teaches using a plurality of remote control devices for different user to control a system for accessing the video signal to be stored and replay (column 16, lines 60 t column 17, lines 25). It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pierre with Vallone by using the teaching of Vallone for modifying the recorder and remote control of Pierre to provide a plurality remote control devices for controlling to recorder to record the program and accessing the recorded files by different users thereby enhancing the capacity of apparatus of Pierre for used with a plurality of users.

Further for claims 45-46, Pierre as modified with Vallone further teaches the secondary remote control has less function than the main remote control deice (column 17, line 15-20).

9. Claims 1, 2-3,5, 9,11-13,17-18,11-13,15,21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre et al in view of Lynch et al (5,438,423).

Regarding, claims 1,11 and 17, Pierre discloses a personal video recorder (Figs. 4-6, column 6,) having a user-controlled data capture function, the recorder comprising: an input (102) for receiving an audiovisual signal and an output for

Page 7

outputting said audiovisual signal; a buffer (90,92) for buffering said audiovisual signal. said buffer retaining a portion of said audiovisual signal after that portion has been output by said recorder (column 5, lines 10-15); a data storage unit (105); and a processor (10) that receives input from a user input device; wherein, upon receipt of a user command input through said user input device, said processor records a segment of said audiovisual signal in said data storage unit, said segment of said audiovisual signal comprising: a first predetermined amount of said portion of said audiovisual signal retained in said buffer; and a second predetermined amount of said portion of said audiovisual signal output by said recorder after receipt of said user command (column 6, lines 23-36, 60-68,

Pierre fails to teach that the first predetermined amount is less than the second predetermined amount.

Lynch teaches a recorder (the figure) having a buffer means for storing the video signal, and a portion of video less than the video signal retained in the buffer is transferred to a storage means for recording thereon (column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to modify Pierre with Lynch by using a control means as taught by Lynch for transferring a portion of the retained video signal in the buffer thereby enhancing the recorder of Pierre for enable of selecting a desired portion of the video signal retained in the buffer means for storing with the second predetermine amount.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claims 2, 3, 12,13, 18, Pierre further teaches the processor associates an identifying label with each said segment of said audiovisual signal recorded in said data storage unit (column 5, lines 5-65).

Regarding claims 5, 19, Pierre teaches the use of a remote control device (column 4, lines 45-50).

Regarding claims 15 and 21, Pierre as modified with Lynch further teaches that the predetermined first potion and second portion amount is set by the user input device since after storing the first predetermined amount in buffer, the user inputs command to input device to record the second predetermine amount corresponding to the remaining portion of the program until stopping recording (column 6, lines 5-20).

Regarding claim 22, Pierre as modified with Lynch further teaches transferring n the segment from the recorder for viewing by a monitor.

10. Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre et al in view of Lynch as applied to claim 1 above further in view of Kuroda (6,311,011).

Regarding claims 4 and 10, Pierre fails to teach the use of hard disk s for storing the segment from the storage. However, it is noted that using a heard disk for storing the signal is well known in the art as taught by Kuroda. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pierre with Kuroda by providing the apparatus of Pierre with a disk driver for receiving a hard disk and storing the segment from the storage device for later user.

Art Unit: 2615

11. Claims 6,7,14 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre in view of Lynch as applied to claims 1,5, 11 and 19 above, further in view of Val lone et al (6,642,939).

Regarding claims 6,7,14 and 20, Pierre fails to specifically teach the use of a plurality of remote control units. Vallone teaches using a plurality of remote control devices for different user to control a system for accessing the video signal to be stored and replay (column 16, lines 60 t column 17, lines 25). It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Pierre with Vallone by using the teaching of Vallone for modifying the recorder and remote control of Pierre to provide a plurality remote control devices for controlling to recorder to record the program and accessing the recorded files by different users thereby enhancing the capacity of apparatus of Pierre for used with a plurality of users.

12. Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre et al in view of Lynch as applied to claims 1 and 11 above, further n view of JO (5,237,462).

Regarding claim 9 and 16, Pierre fails to teach the second predetermined amount is determined by length of time.

Jo teaches a recording apparatus having a control means for setting a length of time for a predetermined amount of video signal (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to modify Pierre with

JO by using a control means as taught by JO with the apparatus of Pierre for setting the

Art Unit: 2615

second predetermine amount by a length of time thereby accurately controlling the second predetermined amount to be recorded.

13. Claim 59 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierre in view of Lynch et al.

Regarding claim 59, Pierre discloses a personal video recorder (Figs. 4-6, column 6) having a user-controlled data capture function, the recorder comprising: an input (102) for receiving an audiovisual signal and an output for outputting said audiovisual signal; a buffer (90,92) for buffering said audiovisual signal, said buffer retaining a portion of said audiovisual signal after that portion has been output by said recorder (column 5, lines 10-15); a data storage unit (105); and a processor (10) that receives input from a user input device; wherein, upon receipt of a user command input through said user input device, said processor records a segment of said audiovisual signal in said data storage unit, said segment of said audiovisual signal comprising: a first predetermined amount of said portion of said audiovisual signal retained in said buffer; and a second predetermined amount of said portion of said audiovisual signal output by said recorder after receipt of said user command (column 6, lines 23-36, 60-68).

Pierre fails to teach the use of an additional buffer for replay.

Lynch teaches a recorder (the figure) having a buffer used for replay the stored video signal (column 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary sill in the art to modify Pierre with Lynch by providing the apparatus with an additional buffer for replaying the stored

video signal thereby enhancing the recorder of Pierre for enable of selecting a desired portion of the video signal for viewing.

Conclusion

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T NGUYEN whose telephone number is (703) 305-4775. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2615

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 12

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N